

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL FOR “THEORY OF FUNCTIONAL PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS FOR DISCRETELY OBSERVED DATA”

BY HANG ZHOU¹, DONGYI WEI² AND FANG YAO³

¹*Department of Statistics, University of California at Davis, hgzhou@ucdavis.edu*

²*School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, jnwdyi@pku.edu.cn*

³*School of Mathematical Sciences and Center for Statistical Science, Peking University, fiao@math.pku.edu.cn*

This supplement contains detailed proofs of the theorems presented in Sections 4 and 5 of the main text, along with additional corollaries and supporting lemmas.

S1. Proofs of theorems in Section 4

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. By equation (5.22) in [Hsing and Eubank \(2015\)](#), $\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_j$ admits the following expansion,

$$(S.1) \quad \hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_j = \iint \{\hat{C}(s, t) - C(s, t)\} \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t) + \langle (\hat{\mathcal{P}}_j - \mathcal{P}_j)(\hat{C} - \hat{\lambda}_j \mathcal{I})(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_j - \mathcal{P}_j) \phi_j, \phi_j \rangle,$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_j = \hat{\phi}_j \otimes \hat{\phi}_j$, $\mathcal{P}_j = \phi_j \otimes \phi_j$ and $\mathcal{I}$ is the identity operator on $\mathcal{L}^2[0, 1]$. For an operator $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{L}^2[0, 1]$, we use $\|\mathcal{A}\|$ denotes its operator norm. By Lemma 5.1.7 in [Hsing and Eubank \(2015\)](#) and Taylor expansion of $\sqrt{1-x}$ at 0,

$$(S.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j\|^2 &= 2\{1 - (1 - \|\hat{\mathcal{P}}_j - \mathcal{P}_j\|^2)^{1/2}\} \\ &= 2 \left\{ 1 - 1 + \frac{\|\hat{\mathcal{P}}_j - \mathcal{P}_j\|^2}{2} + o(\|\hat{\mathcal{P}}_j - \mathcal{P}_j\|^2) \right\} \\ &= \|\hat{\mathcal{P}}_j - \mathcal{P}_j\|^2 + o(\|\hat{\mathcal{P}}_j - \mathcal{P}_j\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Combine (S.1), (S.2) and Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$(S.3) \quad \hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_j = \iint \{\hat{C}(s, t) - C(s, t)\} \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t) + O(\|\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j\|^2) + o(\|\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j\|^2).$$

We first focus on the asymptotic behavior of $\iint \{\hat{C}(s, t) - C(s, t)\} \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t)$. Note that

$$(S.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\iint \{\hat{C}(s, t) - C(s, t)\} \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t) \\ &= \iint \tilde{C}_0(s, t) \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t) + \iint \{\hat{C}(s, t) - C(s, t) - \tilde{C}_0(s, t)\} \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{C}_0(s, t) = \left\{ R_{00} - C(s, t) S_{00} - h \frac{\partial C(s, t)}{\partial s}(s, t) S_{10} - h \frac{\partial C(s, t)}{\partial t}(s, t) S_{01} \right\} / f(s) f(t).$$

MSC2020 subject classifications: Primary 62R10; secondary 62G20.

For the bias term of $\iint \tilde{C}_0(s, t) \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t)$, by similar analysis of equation (S.30) in the proof of Lemma 1, under $h j^a \log n \lesssim 1$,

$$(S.5) \quad \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int \tilde{C}_0(s, t) \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t) \right\} = h^2 K_2 \lambda_j \int \phi_j^{(2)} \phi_j + o(h^2 j^{-a}).$$

For the variance of $\int \tilde{C}_0(s, t) \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t)$, denote

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= \iint R_{00}(s, t) \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_j(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt; \quad \beta_2 = \iint S_{00}(s, t) C(s, t) \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_j(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt; \\ \beta_3 &= h \iint \frac{\partial C(s, t)}{\partial s} S_{10}(s, t) \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_j(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt; \quad \beta_4 = h \iint \frac{\partial C(s, t)}{\partial t} S_{01}(s, t) \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_j(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}\text{ar} \left(\iint \tilde{C}_0(s, t) \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t) \right) &= \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\beta_1) + \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\beta_2) + \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\beta_3) + \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\beta_4) \\ &\quad - 2 \text{Cov}(\beta_1, \beta_2) - 2 \text{Cov}(\beta_1, \beta_3) - 2 \text{Cov}(\beta_1, \beta_4) \\ &\quad + 2 \text{Cov}(\beta_2, \beta_3) + 2 \text{Cov}(\beta_2, \beta_4) + 2 \text{Cov}(\beta_3, \beta_4). \end{aligned}$$

By similar analysis as in the proof of Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\beta_1) &= a_n - \frac{\lambda_j^2}{n} + o(a_n); \\ \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\beta_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 \left\{ 4! \binom{N_i}{4} \lambda_j^2 + 4 \binom{N_i}{2} \iint C(u, v)^2 \frac{\phi_j^2(u) \phi_j^2(v)}{f(u) f(v)} du dv \right\} - \frac{\lambda_j^2}{n} + o(a_n); \\ \text{Cov}(\beta_1, \beta_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 \left\{ 4! \binom{N_i}{4} \lambda_j^2 + 4 \binom{N_i}{2} \iint C(u, v)^2 \frac{\phi_j^2(u) \phi_j^2(v)}{f(u) f(v)} du dv \right\} - \frac{\lambda_j^2}{n} + o(a_n); \\ \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\beta_2) &= o(a_n); \quad \text{Cov}(\beta_1, \beta_3) = o(a_n); \quad \text{Cov}(\beta_1, \beta_4) = o(a_n); \\ \text{Cov}(\beta_2, \beta_3) &= o(a_n); \quad \text{Cov}(\beta_2, \beta_4) = o(a_n); \quad \text{Cov}(\beta_3, \beta_4) = o(a_n). \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 \left\{ 4! \binom{N_i}{4} \mathbb{E}(\xi_j^4) + 4! \binom{N_i}{3} \lambda_j \int \{C(u, u) + \sigma_X^2\} \frac{\phi_j^2(u)}{f(u)} du \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4 \binom{N_i}{2} \left[\int \{C(u, u) + \sigma_X^2\} \frac{\phi_j^2(u)}{f(u)} du \right]^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combine all above, we get $\mathbb{V}\text{ar} \left(\iint \tilde{C}_0(s, t) \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t) \right) = \Sigma_n + o(\Sigma_n)$ with

$$(S.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma_n &= \frac{4! P_0(N)}{n} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\xi_j^4) - \lambda_j^2}{\lambda_j^2} + 4! \frac{P_1(N)}{n} \frac{\lambda_j \int \{C(u, u) + \sigma_X^2\} \frac{\phi_j^2(u)}{f(u)} du}{\lambda_j^2} \\ &\quad + 4 \frac{P_2(N)}{n} \left(\left[\int \{C(u, u) + \sigma_X^2\} \frac{\phi_j^2(u)}{f(u)} du \right]^2 - \iint C(u, v)^2 \frac{\phi_j^2(u) \phi_j^2(v)}{f(u) f(v)} du dv \right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed by

$$\|\hat{C} - C - \tilde{C}_0\|_{\text{HS}} = o_P(h^2 j^{-a}) + o_p(\Sigma_n^{1/2})$$

under $h j^{2a} \log n = o(1)$ and $\Sigma_n^{-1/2} \|\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j\| = o_P(1)$ for all $j = o(n^{1/(2a+4)})$. $\square$

S2. Proofs of theorems in Section 5

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. For the first statement of Theorem 3, we focus on the case $p = 0$, $q = 0$ and the proofs for other cases are similar. Let $\chi(\rho) = \{n^{-\rho}(i, j) | i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \cap (0, n^\rho)\}$ be an equally sized mesh on $[0, 1]^2$ with grid size $n^{-\rho}$ by $n^{-\rho}$ for a positive ρ . Then,

$$(S.7) \quad \sup_{s, t \in [0, 1]} |R_{00}(s, t) - \mathbb{E}R_{00}(s, t)| \leq \sup_{(s, t) \in \chi(\rho)} |R_{00}(s, t) - \mathbb{E}R_{00}(s, t)| + D_1 + D_2$$

where

$$D_1 = \sup_{\substack{(s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2) \in [0, 1]^2 \\ |s_1 - s_2| \leq n^{-\rho}, |t_1 - t_2| \leq n^{-\rho}}} |R_{00}(s_1, t_1) - R_{00}(s_2, t_2)|,$$

$$D_2 = \sup_{\substack{(s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2) \in [0, 1]^2 \\ |s_1 - s_2| \leq n^{-\rho}, |t_1 - t_2| \leq n^{-\rho}}} |\mathbb{E}R_{00}(s_1, t_1) - \mathbb{E}R_{00}(s_2, t_2)|.$$

Recall $\delta_{ijl} = X_{ij}X_{il} = \{X_i(t_{ij}) + \varepsilon_{ij}\}\{X_i(t_{il}) + \varepsilon_{il}\}$ and for all $s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & |R_{00}(s_1, t_1) - R_{00}(s_2, t_2)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} |\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \sup \left| \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_1} - s_1}{h} \right) - \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_1} - s_2}{h} \right) \right| \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_2} - t_1}{h} \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} |\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \sup \left| \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_1} - t_1}{h} \right) - \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_1} - t_2}{h} \right) \right| \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_2} - s_2}{h} \right) \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} |\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \sup \frac{|s_1 - s_2| + |t_1 - t_2|}{h} \leq Z_1 \frac{2n^{-\rho}}{h^3}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z_1 := \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} |\delta_{il_1 l_2}| = O(1) \text{ a.s.}$$

For the first term in the right hand side of equation (S.7), in order to use the Bernstein inequality, we need to do truncation on $\delta_{il_1 l_2}$. Denote

$$\tilde{R}_{00}(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} \frac{1}{h^2} \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_1} - s}{h} \right) \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_2} - t}{h} \right) \delta_{il_1 l_2} \mathbf{1}_{(|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \leq A_n)}$$

where A_n is a positive constant we will define later. Then

$$(S.8) \quad \sup_{(s, t) \in \chi(\rho)} |R_{00}(s, t) - \mathbb{E}R_{00}(s, t)| \leq \sup_{(s, t) \in \chi(\rho)} |\tilde{R}_{00}(s, t) - \mathbb{E}\tilde{R}_{00}(s, t)| + E_1 + E_2$$

with

$$E_1 = \sup_{(s, t) \in \chi(\rho)} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} \frac{1}{h^2} \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_1} - s}{h} \right) \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_2} - t}{h} \right) |\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \mathbf{1}_{(|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| > A_n)},$$

$$E_2 = \sup_{(s,t) \in \chi(\rho)} \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} \frac{1}{h^2} \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_1} - s}{h} \right) \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_2} - t}{h} \right) |\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \mathbf{1}_{(|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| > A_n)}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}|\delta_{il_1 l_2}|^\alpha \lesssim 1$, $|E_1 + E_2| = O(A_n^{1-\alpha} h^{-2})$ a.s. under Assumption 5, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s,t} |E_1 + E_2| &\lesssim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{N_i(N_i - 1)} \frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} \sup_{s,t} |\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \mathbf{1}_{(|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| > A_n)} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{h^2 A_n^{\alpha-1}} \lesssim A_n^{1-\alpha} h^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} \sup_{s,t} |\delta_{il_1 l_2}|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

For each $(s, t) \in \chi(\rho)$, denote

$$L_i(s, t) = v_i \frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_1} - s}{h} \right) \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_2} - t}{h} \right) \delta_{il_1 l_2} \mathbf{1}_{(|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \leq A_n)}.$$

Then, $\tilde{R}_{00}(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(s, t)$, $\mathbb{E}|L_i(s, t)|^2 \lesssim n^{-2} \{1 + (N_i h)^{-2}\}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |L_i(s, t)| &\leq A_n \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{N_i(N_i - 1)} \frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_1} - s}{h} \right) \mathbf{K} \left(\frac{t_{il_2} - t}{h} \right) \\ &= A_n \frac{1}{n} \frac{N_i}{N_i - 1} J_i(s) J_i(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $J_i(s) := h^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{N_i} \mathbf{K}((t_{il} - s)/h)$. For a sharp bound, we need a second truncation on J_i . Denote $Y_{il} = h^{-1} \mathbf{K}((t_{il} - s)/h)$, note that $\mathbb{E}Y_{il} = 1$, $0 \leq Y_{il} \leq 2/h$, for fixed $M \geq 5$, let $M' = M - 1$ and by Bernstein inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(J_i(s) > M) &= \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N_i} Y_{il} > M \right) = \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{1 \leq l \leq N_i} (Y_{il} - 1) > M' N_i \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(- \frac{(M' N_i)^2 / 2}{\sum_{l=1}^{N_i} \mathbb{E}|Y_{il} - 1|^2 + |2/h| M' N_i / 3} \right) \leq \exp \left(- \frac{(M' N_i)^2 / 2}{N_i |2/h| + |2/h| M' N_i / 3} \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(- \frac{(M' N_i)^2 / 2}{|2/h| M' N_i (1/4 + 1/3)} \right) = \exp(-3M' N_i h / 7) \leq \exp(-M N_i h / 3). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(|L_i(s, t)| > A_n \frac{1}{n} \frac{N_i M^2}{N_i - 1} \right) &\leq \mathbb{P} \left(A_n \frac{1}{n} \frac{N_i}{N_i - 1} J_i(s) J_i(t) > A_n \frac{1}{n} \frac{N_i M^2}{N_i - 1} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(J_i(s) J_i(t) > M^2) \leq \mathbb{P}(J_i(s) > M) + \mathbb{P}(J_i(t) > M) \leq 2 \exp(-M N_i h / 3). \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\tilde{L}_i(s, t) = L_i(s, t) \mathbf{1}_{(|L_i(s, t)| \leq A_n \frac{1}{n} \frac{N_i M^2}{N_i - 1})} \text{ and } R_{00}^*(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i(s, t).$$

Then

$$(S.9) \quad \sup_{(s,t) \in \chi(\rho)} |\tilde{R}_{00}(s, t) - \mathbb{E} \tilde{R}_{00}(s, t)| \leq \sup_{(s,t) \in \chi(\rho)} |R_{00}^*(s, t) - \mathbb{E} R_{00}^*(s, t)| + F_1 + F_2$$

with

$$F_1 = \sup_{(s,t) \in \chi(\rho)} \sum_{i=1}^n |L_i(s,t)| \mathbf{1}_{(|L_i(s,t)| > A_n \frac{1}{n} \frac{N_i M^2}{N_i - 1})},$$

$$F_2 = \sup_{(s,t) \in \chi(\rho)} \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n |L_i(s,t)| \mathbf{1}_{(|L_i(s,t)| > A_n \frac{1}{n} \frac{N_i M^2}{N_i - 1})}.$$

By similar arguments, $|F_1 + F_2| = O(n^{2\rho} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N_2 h}\right) \exp(-M \bar{N}_2 h/6))$ a.s. by strong law of large number and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[|L_i(s,t)| \mathbf{1}_{(|L_i(s,t)| > A_n \frac{1}{n} \frac{N_i M^2}{N_i - 1})} \right] &\leq \left[\mathbb{E} |L_i(s,t)|^2 \mathbb{P} \left(|L_i(s,t)| > A_n \frac{1}{n} \frac{N_i M^2}{N_i - 1} \right) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N_2 h} \right) \exp(-M \bar{N}_2 h/6). \end{aligned}$$

Then $|\tilde{L}_i(s,t) - \mathbb{E} \tilde{L}_i(s,t)| \leq 2A_n \frac{1}{n} \frac{N_i M^2}{N_i - 1} \leq 2A_n \frac{1}{n} M^2$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \{ \tilde{L}_i(s,t) - \mathbb{E} \tilde{L}_i(s,t) \}^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} L_i^2(s,t) \lesssim \frac{1}{n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N_2^2 h^2} \right).$$

By Bernstein inequality again, for $\lambda > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|R_{00}^*(s,t) - \mathbb{E} R_{00}^*(s,t)| > \lambda) &= \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{L}_i(s,t) - \mathbb{E} \tilde{L}_i(s,t)) \right| > \lambda \right) \\ &\leq 2 \exp \left(- \frac{\lambda^2/2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \{ \tilde{L}_i(s,t) - \mathbb{E} \tilde{L}_i(s,t) \}^2 + 2A_n \frac{1}{n} M^2 \lambda/3} \right) \\ &\lesssim 2 \exp \left(- \frac{\lambda^2/2}{\frac{1}{n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N_2^2 h^2} \right) + 2A_n \frac{1}{n} M^2 \lambda/3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Take $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{(2\rho+2)\ln n}{n}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N_2 h} \right) + A_n \frac{1}{n} M^2 (2\rho+2) \ln n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \chi(\rho)} |R_{00}^*(s,t) - \mathbb{E} R_{00}^*(s,t)| \geq \lambda \right) \\ &\lesssim 2n^{2\rho} \exp \left(- \frac{\frac{(2\rho+2)\ln n}{n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N_2^2 h^2} \right) + A_n^2 \frac{1}{n^2} M^4 (2\rho+2)^2 \ln^2 n/4}{\frac{1}{n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N_2^2 h^2} \right) + 2A_n^2 \frac{1}{n^2} M^4 (2\rho+2)/3} \right) \\ &\lesssim n^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Borel–Cantelli Lemma

$$\sup_{(s,t) \in \chi(\rho)} |R_{00}^*(s,t) - \mathbb{E} R_{00}^*(s,t)| = O \left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho \ln n}{n}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N_2 h} \right) + A_n \frac{1}{n} M^2 \rho \ln n \right) \text{ a.s.}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{s,t \in [0,1]} |R_{00}(s,t) - \mathbb{E}R_{00}(s,t)| \leq \sup_{(s,t) \in \chi(\rho)} |R_{00}^*(s,t) - \mathbb{E}R_{00}^*(s,t)| \\
& + \mathbb{E}|D_1 + D_2| + \mathbb{E}|E_1 + E_2| + \mathbb{E}|F_1 + F_2| \\
& \lesssim \left[\sqrt{\frac{\rho \ln n}{n}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\bar{N}_2 h} \right) + A_n \frac{1}{n} M^2 \rho \ln n \right] + n^{-\rho} h^{-3} \\
& + A_n^{1-\alpha} h^{-2} + n^{2\rho} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\bar{N}_2^{1/2} h} \right) \exp(-M \bar{N}_2^{1/2} h/6).
\end{aligned}$$

The first statement of Theorem 3 is complete by taking $M = 5 + 6(2\rho + 1) \frac{\ln n}{\bar{N}_2^{1/2} h}$, $A_n = n^{1/\alpha} |M^2 h^2 \rho \ln n|^{-1/\alpha}$ and $\rho = 3s + 1$.

For the second statement of Theorem 3, by part (a) and similar arguments as Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 1, we get

$$\sup_{s,t \in [0,1]} |\hat{C}(s,t) - \mathbb{E}\hat{C}(s,t)| = O \left(\sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{n}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\bar{N}_2 h} \right) + \left| \frac{\ln n}{n} \right|^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left| 1 + \frac{\ln n}{\bar{N}_2 h} \right|^{2-\frac{2}{\alpha}} h^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \right).$$

Under Assumption 2, $|\mathbb{E}\hat{C}(s,t) - C(s,t)| = h^4$ for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$, which complete the proof. $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. In this proof, we will generalize the double truncation methodology in the proof of Theorem 3 to obtain the uniform convergence of eigenfunction. To concentrate on the key content of the proof and exclude non-essential calculations, we focus on the case where t_{ij} are uniform distributed and denote $\hat{C}_0 = R_{00}(s,t) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} h^{-1} K((t_{il_1} - s)/h) h^{-1} K((t_{il_2} - t)/h) \delta_{il_1 l_2}$ and assume $\{\hat{\phi}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ are eigenfunctions of $\hat{C}_0$. Let $\chi_1(\rho) = \{n^\rho \mathbf{1}_{(\frac{j}{n^\rho}, \frac{j+1}{n^\rho})} | j \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [0, n^\rho]\}$, ($\rho \in \mathbb{Z}_+$), note that

$$\text{(S.10)} \quad \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |\hat{\phi}_j(s) - \phi_j(s)| \leq \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} |\langle \hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j, g \rangle| + D_1 + D_2$$

where

$$D_1 = \sup_{\substack{s_1, s_2 \in [0,1] \\ |s_1 - s_2| \leq n^{-\rho}}} |\hat{\phi}_j(s_1) - \hat{\phi}_j(s_2)|, \quad D_2 = \sup_{\substack{s_1, s_2 \in [0,1] \\ |s_1 - s_2| \leq n^{-\rho}}} |\phi_j(s_1) - \phi_j(s_2)|.$$

As $\hat{\lambda}_j \hat{\phi}_j(s) = \int \hat{C}_0(s,t) \hat{\phi}_j(t) dt$, and by the definition of $\hat{C}_0$ and Lipschitz continuous of the kernel function K , one has $|\hat{C}_0(s_1, t) - \hat{C}_0(s_2, t)| \lesssim Z_1 |s_1 - s_2|/h^3$, where

$$Z_1 := \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} |\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \text{ and } \mathbb{E}Z_1 = \mathbb{E}|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \lesssim 1.$$

Denote $\Omega_u := \{\|\Delta\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \eta_{j_{\max}}/2, \|\Delta\|_{\infty} j_{\max}^a \leq 1, \|\Delta\|_{\text{HS}} j_{\max}^{a+1} \leq 1\}$ and $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_u) \rightarrow 1$ under assumptions of Theorem 4. Then on Ω_u

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\hat{\phi}_j(s_1) - \hat{\phi}_j(s_2)| \leq \hat{\lambda}_j^{-1} \int |\hat{C}_0(s_1, t) - \hat{C}_0(s_2, t)| |\hat{\phi}_j(t)| dt \\
& \lesssim \hat{\lambda}_j^{-1} Z_1 \frac{|s_1 - s_2|}{h^3} \cdot \int |\hat{\phi}_j(t)| dt \lesssim \hat{\lambda}_j^{-1} Z_1 |s_1 - s_2|/h^3 \\
& \lesssim j^a Z_1 |s_1 - s_2|/h^3,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds on the high probability set Ω_u . Under Assumption 4, we also have $|\phi_j(s_1) - \phi_j(s_2)| \lesssim j^{c/2}|s_1 - s_2|$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}|D_1 + D_2| \lesssim (j^a h^{-3} + j^{c/2})n^{-\rho}.$$

By using the perturbation technique again, the following Lemma decompose $\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j$ into two parts, where $\phi_{j,1}$ is the dominating term that requires subsequent analyses. Its proof can be found in Section S4.

LEMMA S1. *Under Assumptions 1 to 6, $h^4 j^{2a+2c} \lesssim 1$ and $h j^a \log n \lesssim 1$, there is*

$$\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j = \phi_{j,0} + \hat{\lambda}_j^{-1} \phi_{j,1} \text{ with } \phi_{j,1} = \sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} \left\{ \int (\hat{C}_0 - C) \phi_j \phi_k \right\} \phi_k$$

and

$$(S.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\phi_{j,0}\|_{\infty} = & O_p \left(\frac{j \log j}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \bar{N}_2}} \left(j^{\frac{a}{2}+1} \log j + h^{-\frac{1}{2}} j^{\frac{a+1}{2}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{j^{a+\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{nh \bar{N}_2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \bar{N}_2}} j^{a+1} \log j + h^2 j^{c+1} \log j \right) \end{aligned}$$

and $\phi_{j,1} = \sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} \langle \Delta \phi_j, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k$.

For fixed $g \in \chi_1(\rho)$ we have $\|g\|_1 = 1$ and $|\langle \hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j, g \rangle| = |\langle \phi_{j,0} + \hat{\lambda}_j^{-1} \phi_{j,1}, g \rangle| \leq \|\phi_{j,0}\|_{\infty} + \hat{\lambda}_j^{-1} |\langle \phi_{j,1}, g \rangle|$ by Lemma S1. For all $g \in \mathcal{L}^2$ denote $\mathcal{P}_{\geq m} g := \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \langle g, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k$, $\mathcal{P}_{< m} g := \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \langle g, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k$, and $g = \mathcal{P}_{< m} g + \mathcal{P}_{\geq m} g$. Thus,

$$\langle \phi_{j,1}, g \rangle = \sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} \left\{ \int (\hat{C}_0 - C) \phi_j \phi_k \right\} \langle \phi_k, g \rangle = \int \hat{C}_0 \phi_j \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g.$$

The first term in equation (S.10) becomes

$$(S.12) \quad \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} |\langle \hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j, g \rangle| \leq \|\phi_{j,0}\|_{\infty} + \hat{\lambda}_j^{-1} \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \left| \int \hat{C}_0 \phi_j \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \right|$$

and

$$(S.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \left| \int \hat{C}_0 \phi_j \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \left| \int (\hat{C}_0 - \mathbb{E} \hat{C}_0) \phi_j \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \right| + \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \left| \mathbb{E} \int \hat{C}_0 \phi_j \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma bounds the bias term in the right hand side of (S.13) and its proof can be found in the Section S4.

LEMMA S2. *Under Assumptions 1 to 6, for all $g \in \chi_1(\rho)$,*

- (i) $\|\mathcal{P}_{< m} g\|^2 \leq m$, $\|\mathcal{P}_{< m} g\|_{\infty} \leq m$ and $\|\mathcal{P}_{> m} g\|_1 \leq m^{1/2}$.
- (ii)

$$(S.14) \quad \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \left| \mathbb{E} \int \hat{C}_0 \phi_j \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \right| = O(h^2 j^{c+1-a}).$$

To bound the variance term, we shall extend the double truncation technique in Theorem 3 to the eigenfunction case. To be generic, for all $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^2$, recall that

$$\int \hat{C}_0 f g = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{N_i(N_i - 1)} \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \delta_{il_1 l_2} \mathcal{T}_h f(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h g(t_{il_2}),$$

where $\mathcal{T}_h f(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{x-y}{h}\right) f(y) dy$. Let $A_i(f, g) = \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \delta_{il_1 l_2} \mathcal{T}_h f(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h g(t_{il_2})$ then

$$\int \hat{C}_0 f g = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A_i(f, g)}{N_i(N_i - 1)}, \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\int \hat{C}_0 f g \right) = \frac{\mathbb{E} A_i(f, g)}{N_i(N_i - 1)}$$

Since $\delta_{il_1 l_2}$ is unbounded random variable, we first truncate on $\delta_{il_1 l_2}$. Denote

$$\tilde{C}(s, t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{N_i(N_i - 1)} \frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{t_{il_1} - s}{h}\right) \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{t_{il_2} - t}{h}\right) \delta_{il_1 l_2} \mathbf{1}_{(|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \leq A_n)}$$

where A_n is a positive constant we will define later and then,

$$(S.15) \quad \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \left| \int (\hat{C}_0 - \mathbb{E} \hat{C}_0) \phi_j \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \right| \leq \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \left| \int (\tilde{C} - \mathbb{E} \tilde{C}) \phi_j \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \right| + E_1 + \mathbb{E} E_1$$

with

$$E_1 = \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{N_i(N_i - 1)} \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} |\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g(t_{il_2})| |\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \mathbf{1}_{(|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| > A_n)}.$$

For the first term in equation (S.15), let $\tilde{A}_i(f, g) = \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \delta_{il_1 l_2} \mathbf{1}_{(|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \leq A_n)} \mathcal{T}_h f(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h g(t_{il_2})$ and we obtain a trivial bound for $A_i(f, g)$,

$$(S.16) \quad |\tilde{A}_i(f, g)| \leq A_n N_i^2 J_i[\mathcal{T}_h f] J_i[\mathcal{T}_h g] \text{ with } J_i[\varphi] := \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{l=1}^{N_i} |\varphi(t_{il})|, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{L}^2[0, 1].$$

However, the bound (S.16) is not optimal and to obtain a sharper bound this, we truncate on $\tilde{A}_i(f, g)$ with $A_n N_i^2 \|f\|_\infty M$, where M is a positive number defined later. We start with the probability bound $\mathbb{P}(J_i[\varphi] > M)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^2[0, 1]$, note that $\mathbb{E}|\varphi(t_{il})| = \|\varphi\|_1$, $|\varphi(t_{il})| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$ and $\mathbb{E}|\varphi(t_{il})|^2 = \|\varphi\|^2$. For fixed $M \geq 4\|\varphi\|_1$ and $M' = M - 4\|\varphi\|_1$, by Bernstein inequality,

$$(S.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(J_i[\varphi] > M) &= \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{1 \leq l \leq N_i} (|\varphi(t_{il})| - \|\varphi\|_1) > M' N \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(- \frac{(M' N_i)^2 / 2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \mathbb{E} |\varphi(t_{il})| - \|\varphi\|_1^2 + \|\varphi\|_\infty M' N_i / 3} \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(- \frac{(M' N_i)^2 / 2}{N_i \|\varphi\|_2^2 + \|\varphi\|_\infty M' N_i / 3} \right) \leq \exp \left(- \frac{(M' N_i)^2 / 2}{\|\varphi\|_\infty (\|\varphi\|_1 N_i + M' N_i / 3)} \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(- \frac{(M' N_i)^2 / 2}{\|\varphi\|_\infty (2M' N_i / 3)} \right) \leq \exp \left(- \frac{M N_i}{2 \|\varphi\|_\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{A}_i^M(f, g) = \tilde{A}_i(f, g) \mathbf{1}_{(|\tilde{A}_i(f, g)| \leq A_n N_i^2 \|f\|_\infty M)}$. As $J_i[\mathcal{T}_h f] \leq \|\mathcal{T}_h f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$, we have $|\tilde{A}_i(f, g)| \leq A_n N_i^2 J_i[\mathcal{T}_h f] J_i[\mathcal{T}_h g] \leq A_n N_i^2 \|f\|_\infty J_i[\mathcal{T}_h g]$, thus

$$\mathbb{P} \left(|\tilde{A}_i(f, g)| > A_n N_i^2 \|f\|_\infty M \right) \leq \mathbb{P}(J_i[\mathcal{T}_h g] > M) \leq \exp \left(- \frac{M N_i}{2 \|\mathcal{T}_h g\|_\infty} \right).$$

Let

$$C_*^M(f, g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\tilde{A}_i^M(f, g)}{N_i(N_i - 1)} \text{ and } C_*^{>M}(f, g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{A}_i(f, g) \mathbf{1}_{(|\tilde{A}_i(f, g)| > A_n N_i^2 \|f\|_\infty M)},$$

we have $\int \tilde{C} f g = C_*^M(f, g) + C_*^{>M}(f, g)$ and

$$\int (\tilde{C} - \mathbb{E}\tilde{C}) f g \leq |C_*^M(f, g) - \mathbb{E}[C_*^M(f, g)]| + C_*^{>M}(f, g) + \mathbb{E}[C_*^{>M}(f, g)].$$

Denote

$$M_0 := \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \|\mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\leq 2j} g\|_\infty, M_1 := \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \|\mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\leq 2j} g\|_1, M_2 := \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \mathbb{E}|\tilde{A}_i(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)|^2.$$

Then for $M \geq 4M_1$, $M_1 := \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \|\mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\leq 2j} g\|_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (S.18) \quad & \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \left| \int (\tilde{C} - \mathbb{E}\tilde{C}) \phi_j \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} |C_*^M(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g) - \mathbb{E}[C_*^M(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)]| + F_1 + \mathbb{E}F_1 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$F_1 = \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} C_*^{>M}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g).$$

By Bernstein inequality, for all $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(|C_*^M(f, g) - \mathbb{E}[C_*^M(f, g)]| > t) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n v_i(\tilde{A}_i^M(f, g) - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{A}_i^M(f, g)])\right| > t\right) \\ &\leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{n^2 \bar{N}_2^2 (\bar{N}_2 - 1)^2 t^2 / 2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\{\tilde{A}_i^M(f, g) - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{A}_i^M(f, g)]\}^2 + 2A_n \bar{N}_2^2 \|f\|_\infty M n \bar{N}_2 (\bar{N}_2 - 1) t / 3}\right) \\ &\leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{n^2 \bar{N}_2^2 (\bar{N}_2 - 1)^2 t^2 / 2}{n \mathbb{E}|\tilde{A}_i^M(f, g)|^2 + 2A_n \bar{N}_2^2 \|f\|_\infty M n \bar{N}_2 (\bar{N}_2 - 1) t / 3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following expectation bound,

$$\begin{aligned} (S.19) \quad & \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} |C_*^M(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g) - \mathbb{E}[C_*^M(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)]| \right] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(\sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} |C_*^M(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g) - \mathbb{E}[C_*^M(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)]| > t \right) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \min \left\{ 1, 2n^\rho \exp \left(-\frac{n^2 \bar{N}_2^2 (\bar{N}_2 - 1)^2 t^2 / 2}{n M_2 + 2A_n \bar{N}_2^2 \|\phi_j\|_\infty M n \bar{N}_2 (\bar{N}_2 - 1) t / 3} \right) \right\} dt \\ &\leq \text{Const} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\rho \ln n M_2}{n \bar{N}_2^4}} + A_n \frac{M}{n} \rho \ln n \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma bounds the truncated terms E_1 and F_1 in (S.15) and (S.18), and its proof can be found in Section S4.

LEMMA S3. Under Assumptions 1 to 6, $h^4 j^{2a+2c} \lesssim 1$ and $h j^a \log n \lesssim 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}|E_1| \lesssim \frac{1}{h A_n^{\alpha-1}} \text{ and } \mathbb{E}|F_1| \leq \frac{n^\rho M_2^{1/2}}{\bar{N}_2(\bar{N}_2 - 1)} \exp\left(-\frac{M \bar{N}_2}{4M_0}\right)$$

Combine equation (S.15), (S.18) and Lemma S3,

$$(S.20) \quad \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \left| \int (\hat{C}_0 - \mathbb{E}\hat{C}_0) \phi_j \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \right| \lesssim \left[\sqrt{\frac{\rho \ln n}{n} \frac{M_2}{\bar{N}_2^4}} + A_n \frac{M}{n} \rho \ln n \right] + \frac{1}{h A_n^{\alpha-1}} + \frac{2n^\rho M_2^{1/2}}{\bar{N}_2(\bar{N}_2 - 1)} \exp\left(-\frac{M \bar{N}_2}{4M_0}\right).$$

Recall that $M_2 = \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \mathbb{E}|\tilde{A}_i(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)|^2$, with

$$\tilde{A}_i(f, g) = \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \delta_{il_1 l_2} \mathbf{1}_{(|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \leq A_n)} \mathcal{T}_h f(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h g(t_{il_2}),$$

which implies that M_2 is depend on A_n . Consider the un-truncated version of M_2 , $M_2^0 := \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \mathbb{E}|A_i(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)|^2$, where $A_i(f, g) = \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \delta_{il_1 l_2} \mathcal{T}_h f(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h g(t_{il_2})$. We first study the difference between M_2 and M_2^0 . Start with the difference between $A_i(f, g)$ and $\tilde{A}_i(f, g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^2$,

$$(S.21) \quad |A_i(f, g) - \tilde{A}_i(f, g)|^2 = \left| \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \delta_{il_1 l_2} \mathbf{1}_{(|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| > A_n)} \mathcal{T}_h f(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h g(t_{il_2}) \right|^2 \\ \leq \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \delta_{il_1 l_2}^2 \mathbf{1}_{(|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| > A_n)} \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} |\mathcal{T}_h f(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h g(t_{il_2})|^2 \\ \leq A_n^{2-\alpha} Z_{2,i} N_i^4 J_i[|\mathcal{T}_h f|^2] J_i[|\mathcal{T}_h g|^2] \leq A_n^{2-\alpha} Z_{2,i} N_i^4 \|f\|_\infty^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h g\|_\infty J_i[\mathcal{T}_h g],$$

where

$$Z_{2,i} := \frac{1}{N_i(N_i - 1)} \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} |\delta_{il_1 l_2}|^\alpha \text{ with } \mathbb{E} Z_{2,i} = \mathbb{E} |\delta_{il_1 l_2}|^\alpha \lesssim 1.$$

On the set $\{J_i[\mathcal{T}_h g] \leq M\}$, we have

$$(S.22) \quad \mathbb{E}[|A_i(f, g) - \tilde{A}_i(f, g)|^2 \mathbf{1}_{(J_i[\mathcal{T}_h g] \leq M)}] \leq \mathbb{E}[A_n^{2-\alpha} Z_{2,i} N_i^4 \|f\|_\infty^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h g\|_\infty M] \\ \leq \text{Const} A_n^{2-\alpha} N_i^4 \|f\|_\infty^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h g\|_\infty M.$$

On the set $\{J_i[\mathcal{T}_h g] > M\}$, under the condition $M \geq 4\|\mathcal{T}_h g\|_1$,

$$(S.23) \quad \mathbb{E}[|A_i(f, g) - \tilde{A}_i(f, g)|^2 \mathbf{1}_{(J_i[\mathcal{T}_h g] > M)}] \\ \leq |\mathbb{E}|A_i(f, g) - \tilde{A}_i(f, g)|^\alpha|^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} |\mathbb{P}(J_i[\mathcal{T}_h g] > M)|^{1-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \\ \leq \|f\|_\infty^\alpha \|\mathcal{T}_h g\|_\infty^\alpha N_i^{2\alpha} \mathbb{E} Z_{2,i}^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \exp\left(-\frac{(1-2/\alpha)M N_i}{2\|\mathcal{T}_h g\|_\infty}\right) \\ \lesssim \|f\|_\infty^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h g\|_\infty^2 N_i^4 \exp\left(-\frac{(\alpha-2)M N_i}{2\alpha\|\mathcal{T}_h g\|_\infty}\right),$$

where the second last inequality is from equation (S.17) and the last inequality is by $|A_i(f, g) - \tilde{A}_i(f, g)|^\alpha \leq \|f\|_\infty^\alpha \|\mathcal{T}_h g\|_\infty^\alpha N_i^{2\alpha} Z_{2,i}$. Combing equation (S.22), (S.23) and take $f = \phi_j, g = \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}|A_i(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g) - \tilde{A}_i(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)|^2 \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E}[|A_i(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g) - \tilde{A}_i(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)|^2 \mathbf{1}_{(J_i[\mathcal{T}_h g] \leq M)}] \\
 & \quad + \mathbb{E}[|A_i(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g) - \tilde{A}_i(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)|^2 \mathbf{1}_{(J_i[\mathcal{T}_h g] > M)}] \\
 (S.24) \quad & \lesssim A_n^{2-\alpha} N_i^4 \|\phi_j\|_\infty^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g\|_\infty M \\
 & \quad + \|\phi_j\|_\infty^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g\|_\infty^2 N_i^4 \exp\left(-\frac{(\alpha-2)MN_i}{2\alpha\|\mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g\|_\infty}\right) \\
 & \lesssim A_n^{2-\alpha} N_i^4 h^{-1} M + h^{-2} N_i^4 \exp\left(-\frac{(\alpha-2)MN_i}{2\alpha M_0}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S.25) \quad & M_2^{1/2} - (M_2^0)^{1/2} \leq \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} [\mathbb{E}|A_i(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g) - \tilde{A}_i(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)|^2]^{1/2} \\
 & \lesssim A_n^{1-\alpha/2} \bar{N}_2^2 |M/h|^{1/2} + h^{-1} \bar{N}_2^2 \exp\left(-\frac{(\alpha-2)M\bar{N}_2}{4\alpha M_0}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combine equation (S.13), (S.14), (S.20) and (S.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} |\langle \hat{C} \phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle|\right] \leq \text{Const} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\rho \ln n}{n} \frac{M_2^0}{\bar{N}_2^4}} + A_n \frac{M}{n} \rho \ln n + h^2 j^{c+1-a} \right] + \frac{\text{Const}}{h A_n^{\alpha-1}} \\
 & + \text{Const} \sqrt{\frac{\rho \ln n}{n}} \left[A_n^{1-\alpha/2} |M/h|^{1/2} + h^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{(\alpha-2)M\bar{N}_2}{4\alpha M_0}\right) \right] \\
 & \lesssim \left[\sqrt{\frac{\rho \ln n}{n}} \left| \frac{\sqrt{M_2^0}}{\bar{N}_2^2} + \frac{1}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{(\alpha-2)M\bar{N}_2}{4\alpha M_0}\right) \right| + A_n \frac{M}{n} \rho \ln n + h^2 j^{c+1-a} \right] + \frac{1}{h A_n^{\alpha-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Take $M = 4M_1 + 4\frac{M_0}{\bar{N}_2}(\rho+1)\ln n + \frac{4\alpha M_0}{(\alpha-2)\bar{N}_2} \ln \frac{j^a}{h}$, $A_n = n^{1/\alpha} |M h \rho \ln n|^{-1/\alpha}$, then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S.26) \quad & \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} |\langle \hat{C}_0 \phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle|\right] \\
 & \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\rho \ln n}{n}} \left| \frac{\sqrt{M_2^0}}{\bar{N}_2^2} + j^{-a} \right| + h^2 j^{c+1-a} + \left| \frac{\rho \ln n}{n} \right|^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left| j^{1/2} + \frac{\ln n}{\bar{N}_2 h} \right|^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} h^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we use $M_1 \lesssim j^{1/2}$ and $M_0 \lesssim h^{-1}$, which follow from $\|\mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g\|_1 \leq \text{Const} j^{1/2}$, $\|\mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g\|_\infty \leq \text{Const} h^{-1}$ for all $g \in \chi_1(\rho)$. The following lemma gives the bound of M_0 ,

LEMMA S4. *Under Assumptions 1 to 6, $h^4 j^{2a+2c} \lesssim 1$ and $h j^a \log n \lesssim 1$,*

$$(S.27) \quad M_2^0 \lesssim \bar{N}_2^4 j^{2-2a} + \bar{N}_2^3 (j^{-a} h^{-1} + j^{2-a}) + \bar{N}_2^2 h^{-1}.$$

Combine equation (S.10), (S.11), (S.12), (S.26) and (S.27), on the high probability set Ω_u ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\|\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j\|_\infty) &\lesssim \frac{j}{\sqrt{n}}(\sqrt{\ln n} + \ln j) \left\{ 1 + \frac{j^a}{N_2} + \sqrt{\frac{j^{a-1}}{N_2 h}} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{j^a}{N_2}} \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + j^a \left| \frac{\ln n}{n} \right|^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left| j^{1/2} + \frac{\ln n}{N_2 h} \right|^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} h^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} + h^2 j^{c+1} \log j \\ &\quad + (j^a h^{-3} + j^{c/2}) n^{-\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete by choosing large enough ρ . $\square$

S3. Proofs of lemmas and ancillary results in main text

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. By definition of $\tilde{C}_0(s, t)$, we need to bound the bias and variance terms of

$$(S.28) \quad \iint \left\{ R_{00} - C(s, t)S_{00} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial s}(s, t)S_{10} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}(s, t)S_{01} \right\} \frac{\phi_j(s)\phi_k(t)}{f(s)f(t)} ds dt.$$

For the random design case, by analogous calculation as proof of Theorem 3.2 in [Zhang and Wang \(2016\)](#), one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[R_{00} - C(s, t)S_{00} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial s}(s, t)S_{10} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}(s, t)S_{01} \right] \\ &= \frac{h^2}{2} K_2 \frac{\partial C(s, t)}{\partial s^2}(s, t) f(s) f(t) + \frac{h^2}{2} K_2 \frac{\partial C(s, t)}{\partial t^2}(s, t) f(s) f(t) + O(h^3), \end{aligned}$$

where $K_2 = \int u^2 K(u) du$. Thus, for the bias part of equation (S.28), for all $k \leq 2j$,

$$\begin{aligned} (S.29) \quad &\left(\mathbb{E} \iint \left\{ R_{00} - C(s, t)S_{00} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial s}(s, t)S_{10} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}(s, t)S_{01} \right\} \frac{\phi_j(s)\phi_k(t)}{f(s)f(t)} ds dt \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{h^4}{2} K_2^2 \left[\iint \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \lambda_r \phi_r(s) \phi_r^{(2)}(t) \phi_j(s) \phi_k(t) ds dt \right]^2 + o(j^{-2a} h^4) \\ &\leq \frac{h^4}{2} K_2^2 \lambda_j^2 \|\phi_j\|_\infty^2 + o(h^4) = O(h^4 k^{-2a} j^{2c}). \end{aligned}$$

For the tail summation, similarly

$$\begin{aligned} (S.30) \quad &\sum_{k \geq j} \left(\mathbb{E} \iint \left\{ R_{00} - C(s, t)S_{00} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial s}(s, t)S_{10} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}(s, t)S_{01} \right\} \frac{\phi_j(s)\phi_k(t)}{f(s)f(t)} ds dt \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{h^4}{2} K_2^2 \left\| \int \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t^2}(t, s) \phi_j(s) ds \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2 + o(j^{-2a} h^4) \\ &= O(h^4 j^{1+2c-2a}). \end{aligned}$$

For the variance of equation (S.28), note that
(S.31)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{V}\text{ar} \left(\iint \left\{ R_{00} - CS_{00} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial s}(s, t) S_{10} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}(s, t) S_{01} \right\} \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_k(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \iint R_{00}(s, t) \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_k(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt \right\}^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \iint C(s, t) S_{00}(s, t) \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_k(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt \right\}^2 \right] \\ & \quad + h^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \iint \frac{\partial C}{\partial s}(s, t) S_{10}(s, t) \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_k(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt \right\}^2 \right] \\ & \quad + h^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \iint \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}(s, t) S_{01}(s, t) \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_k(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt \right\}^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We start with the first term in the right hand side of equation (S.31). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \iint R_{00}(s, t) \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_k(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt \right\}^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2}^{N_i} \delta_{il_1 l_2} \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f}(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f}(t_{il_2}) \right\}^2 \right] \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 \left\{ 4! \binom{N_i}{4} A_{i1} + 3! \binom{N_i}{3} A_{i2} + 2! \binom{N_i}{2} A_{i3} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i1} &= \mathbb{E} \left(\left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right\rangle^2 \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^2 \right), \\ A_{i2} &= 2 \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left(\left\langle X_i f \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f}, X_i \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle + \sigma_X^2 \left\langle \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f}, f \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle \right) \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right\rangle \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle \right\} \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right\rangle^2 \left\langle (X_i^2 + \sigma_X^2) f, \left(\mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right)^2 \right\rangle \right\} \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^2 \left\langle (X_i^2 + \sigma_X^2) f, \left(\mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right)^2 \right\rangle \right\} \\ & := A_{i21} + A_{i22} + A_{i23} \\ A_{i3} &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left\langle (X_i^2 + \sigma_X^2) f, \left(\mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right)^2 \right\rangle \left\langle (X_i^2 + \sigma_X^2) f, \left(\mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right)^2 \right\rangle \right\} \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left(\left\langle X_i \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f}, X_i f \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle + \sigma_X^2 \left\langle \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f}, f \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle \right)^2 \\ & := A_{i31} + A_{i32}. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy–Schwarz and AM–GM inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i21} &\leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right\rangle^2 \left\langle (X_i^2 + \sigma_X^2) f, \left(\mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right)^2 \right\rangle \right\} \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^2 \left\langle (X_i^2 + \sigma_X^2) f, \left(\mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right)^2 \right\rangle \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= A_{i22} + A_{i23}.$$

Similarly $A_{i32} \leq A_{i31}$, thus, $A_{i2} \leq 2\{A_{i22} + A_{i23}\}$, $A_{i3} \leq 2A_{i31}$. Combine all above,

$$(S.32) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \iint R_{00}(s, t) \frac{\phi_j(s)\phi_k(t)}{f(s)f(t)} ds dt \right\}^2 \right] \\ \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 \left\{ 4! \binom{N_i}{4} A_{i1} + 3! \binom{N_i}{3} (A_{i22} + A_{i23}) + 2! \binom{N_i}{2} A_{i31} \right\}.$$

The following lemma in bounding A_{i1}, A_{i22}, A_{i23} and A_{i31} , its proof can be found in the supplement.

LEMMA S5. *Under assumptions 1 to 4 and $h^4 j^{2c+2a} \lesssim 1$, $h j^a \log n \lesssim 1$, there is $\mathbb{E}(\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \rangle^4) \lesssim k^{-2a}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2j$ and*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k>j} \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^2 \right)^2 \lesssim j^{2-2a}.$$

By Lemma S5 and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$(S.33) \quad A_{i1} \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right\rangle^4 \mathbb{E} \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^4 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim j^{-a} k^{-a} \text{ and} \\ \sum_{k>j} A_{i1} \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right\rangle^4 \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k>j} \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^2 \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim j^{1-2a}.$$

For A_{i22} , by Lemma S5 and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$(S.34) \quad A_{i22} \leq \left\| \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\|_{\infty}^2 \|f\|_{\infty} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right\rangle^4 \mathbb{E}(\|X_i\|^2 + \sigma_X^2)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \lesssim j^{-a},$$

For the summation $\sum_{k>j} A_{i22}$, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{h^2} \int \left| K \left(\frac{x-y}{h} \right) \right|^2 \frac{1}{f^2(y)} dy \lesssim h^{-1} \text{ for all } x \in [0, 1].$$

Thus,

$$(S.35) \quad \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} A_{i22} = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right\rangle^2 \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \left\langle (X_i^2 + \sigma_X^2) f, \left(\mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right)^2 \right\rangle \right\} \\ \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\|_{\infty}^2 \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right\rangle^2 (\|X_i\|^2 + \sigma_X^2) \right\} \\ \lesssim h^{-1} \left(\mathbb{E} \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right\rangle^4 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim h^{-1} j^{-a}.$$

For A_{i23} , by Lemma S5,

$$(S.36) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{i23} = A_{i22} &\leq \left\| \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right\|_\infty^2 \|f\|_\infty \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^2 (\|X_i\|^2 + \sigma_X^2) \right\} \\ &\lesssim \left(\mathbb{E} \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^4 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim k^{-a}, \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq 2j, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(S.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k>j} A_{i23} &\leq \left\| \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right\|_\infty^2 \|f\|_\infty \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k>j} \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^2 (\|X_i\|^2 + \sigma_X^2) \right] \\ &\lesssim \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k>j} \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^2 \right)^2 \mathbb{E}(\|X\|^2 + \sigma_X^2)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim j^{1-a}. \end{aligned}$$

For the last term A_{i31} , note that

$$A_{i31} = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left\langle (X_i^2 + \sigma_X^2) f, \left(\mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right)^2 \right\rangle \left\langle (X_i^2 + \sigma_X^2) f, \left(\mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right)^2 \right\rangle \right\} = O(1),$$

and

$$(S.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} A_{i31} &\leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left\langle (X_i^2 + \sigma_X^2) f, \left(\mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f} \right)^2 \right\rangle (\|X_i\|^2 \|f\|_\infty + \sigma_X^2 \|f\|^2) \frac{\|K\|^2}{h} \right\} \\ &\lesssim h^{-1} \mathbb{E}(\|X_i\|^2 \|f\|_\infty + \sigma_X^2 \|f\|_\infty)^2 \lesssim h^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Combine equation (S.33) to (S.38), for all $k \leq 2j$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \iint R_{00}(s, t) \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_k(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt \right\}^2 \right] \lesssim \frac{1}{n} \left(j^{-a} k^{-a} + \frac{j^{-a} + k^{-a}}{\bar{N}_2} + \frac{1}{\bar{N}_2^2} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{k>j} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \iint R_{00}(s, t) \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_k(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt \right\}^2 \right] \lesssim \frac{1}{n} \left(j^{1-2a} + \frac{j^{-a} h^{-1} + j^{1-a}}{\bar{N}_2} + \frac{h^{-1}}{\bar{N}_2^2} \right).$$

By similar analysis, the second term in the right hand side of equation (S.31) has the same convergence rate as the first term. Under $h^4 j^{2a+2c} \lesssim 1$ and $h j^a \log n \lesssim 1$, the last two terms in the right hand side of equation (S.31) are dominated by the first two terms. Then the proof of the random design case is complete by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left(\iint \left\{ R_{00} - C(s, t) S_{00} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial s}(s, t) S_{10} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}(s, t) S_{01} \right\} \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_k(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{n} \left(j^{-a} k^{-a} + \frac{j^{-a} + k^{-a}}{\bar{N}_2} + \frac{1}{\bar{N}_2^2} \right) + h^4 k^{-2a} j^{2c} \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \leq 2j$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k>j} \mathbb{E} \left(\iint \left\{ R_{00} - C(s, t) S_{00} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial s}(s, t) S_{10} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}(s, t) S_{01} \right\} \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_k(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{n} \left(j^{1-2a} + \frac{j^{-a} h^{-1} + j^{1-a}}{\bar{N}_2} + \frac{h^{-1}}{\bar{N}_2^2} \right) + h^4 j^{1-2a+2c}. \end{aligned}$$

□

To prove the fixed-design case of Theorem 1, it is sufficient to verify the following lemma and proposition, which are the fixed-design versions of Lemma 1 and the proposition in the main text.

LEMMA S6. *Under assumptions 1 to 4, 7 and 8, for all $1 \leq k \leq 2j$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \iint \tilde{C}_0(s, t) \phi_j(s) \phi_k(t) ds dt \right\}^2 \right] \lesssim \frac{1}{n} \left(j^{-a} k^{-a} + \frac{j^{-a} + k^{-a}}{N} + \frac{1}{N^2} \right) + h^4 k^{2c-2a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \iint \tilde{C}_0(s, t) \phi_j(s) \phi_k(t) ds dt \right\}^2 \right] \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{n} \left(j^{1-2a} + \frac{h^{-1} j^{-a} + j^{1-a}}{N} + \frac{1}{h N^2} \right) + h^4 j^{1+2c-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION S1. *Under Assumption 2, 7 and 8,*

- (a) $\inf_{s,t} S_{00}(s, t) I_1(s, t) + S_{10}(s, t) I_2(s, t) + S_{01}(s, t) I_3(s, t)$ and $\inf_{s,t} S_{00}(s, t)$ are bounded away from zero almost surely.
 (b)

$$\|S_{00}(s, t) - 1_{\{0 \leq s \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = O_P \left(h^2 + \frac{1}{n} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\bar{N}_2^2 h^2} \right\} \right).$$

- (c) For $p, q = 0, 1, 2$, $\|S_{pq}\|_{\infty} = O(1)$ a.s.

- (d) For $p, q = 0, 1$, $p + q = 1$

$$\|S_{pq}(s, t)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = O_P \left(h + \frac{\log n}{n} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\bar{N}_2^2 h^2} \right\} \right).$$

- (e) In addition, if Assumption 5 holds with $\alpha > 3$, for $p, q = 0, 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s,t} \left| R_{pq}(s, t) - C(s, t) S_{pq}(s, t) - h \frac{\partial C(s, t)}{\partial s} S_{p+1, q}(s, t) - h \frac{\partial C(s, t)}{\partial t} S_{p, q+1}(s, t) \right| \\ & = O \left(h^2 + \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\bar{N}_2^2 h^2} \right\}} \right) \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of Proposition 1 and S1, the statements (a) and (c) can be verified by Lemma S.4.3 and S.4.2 in [Shao, Lin and Yao \(2022\)](#), while statements (b) and (d) can be justified with arguments analogous to those in [Zhang and Wang \(2016\)](#). We thus omit the proofs.

PROOF OF LEMMA S6. For the even grid design case, by Taylor expansion and similar arguments,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \iint \left\{ R_{00} - C S_{00} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial s} S_{10} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} S_{01} \right\} \phi_j(s) \phi_k(t) ds dt \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \frac{1}{h} \int K \left(\frac{t_{il_1} - s}{h} \right) \phi_j(s) ds \frac{1}{h} \int K \left(\frac{t_{il_2} - t}{h} \right) \phi_k(t) dt C(t_{il_1}, t_{il_2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \frac{1}{h^2} \iint K\left(\frac{t_{il_1} - s}{h}\right) K\left(\frac{t_{il_2} - t}{h}\right) \phi_k(t) \phi_j(s) C(s, t) dt ds \\
& - h \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \frac{1}{h^2} \iint K\left(\frac{t_{il_1} - s}{h}\right) K\left(\frac{t_{il_2} - t}{h}\right) \phi_k(t) \phi_j(s) \frac{\partial C}{\partial s}(s, t) dt ds \\
& - h \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \frac{1}{h^2} \iint K\left(\frac{t_{il_1} - s}{h}\right) K\left(\frac{t_{il_2} - t}{h}\right) \phi_k(t) \phi_j(s) \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}(s, t) dt ds \\
& = h^2 \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \phi_j(t_{il_1}) \phi_k(t_{il_2}) \frac{\partial^2 C(s, t)}{\partial t^2}(t_{il_1}, t_{il_2}) + o(h^2) \\
& = h^2 \iint \phi_j(u) \phi_k(v) \frac{\partial^2 C(u, v)}{\partial v^2}(u, v) du dv + O(N^{-2}) + o(h^2) \\
& = O(h^2 j^{c-a}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last two equalities are due to the Riemann sum approximation and assumptions $Nh \gtrsim 1$ and $h j^a \log n \lesssim 1$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k > j} \left(\mathbb{E} \iint \left\{ R_{00} - C S_{00} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial s} S_{10} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} S_{01} \right\} \phi_j(s) \phi_k(t) ds dt \right)^2 \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k > j} h^2 \iint \phi_j(u) \phi_k(v) \frac{\partial^2 C(u, v)}{\partial v^2}(u, v) du dv + O(N^{-2}) + o(h^4) \lesssim h^4 j^{1+2c-2a}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the variance term, by similar analysis, it is enough to bound

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \iint R_{00}(s, t) \phi_j(s) \phi_k(t) ds dt \right\}^2 \right] \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{l_1 \neq l_2 \neq l_3 \neq l_4} \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j(t_{il_1}) X_i(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k(t_{il_2}) X_i(t_{il_2}) \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j(t_{il_3}) X_i(t_{il_3}) \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k(t_{il_4}) X_i(t_{il_4}) \right. \\
& \quad + \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2 \neq l_3} [\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j(t_{il_1}) X_i(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j(t_{il_2}) X_i(t_{il_2}) \{X_i^2(t_{il_3}) + \sigma_X^2\} \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j(t_{il_3})]^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2 \neq l_3} \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k(t_{il_1}) X_i(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k(t_{il_2}) X_i(t_{il_2}) \{X_i^2(t_{il_3}) + \sigma_X^2\} \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k(t_{il_3})]^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2 \neq l_3} 2 \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j(t_{il_1}) X_i(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k(t_{il_2}) X_i(t_{il_2}) \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j(t_{il_3}) \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k(t_{il_3}) \{X_i^2(t_{il_3}) + \sigma_X^2\} \\
& \quad + \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \{X_i^2(t_{il_1}) + \sigma_X^2\} \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j(t_{il_1})^2 \{X_i^2(t_{il_2}) + \sigma_X^2\} \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k(t_{il_2})^2 \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \{X_i^2(t_{il_1}) + \sigma_X^2\} \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k(t_{il_1}) \{X_i^2(t_{il_2}) + \sigma_X^2\} \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j(t_{il_2}) \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k(t_{il_2}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By the approximation of Riemann sums, there is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \iint R_{00}(s, t) \phi_j(s) \phi_k(t) ds dt \right\}^2 \right] \\
& \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 N^4 \mathbb{E} \left(\langle X_i, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j \rangle + \frac{1}{N} \right)^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\langle X_i, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k \rangle + \frac{1}{N} \right)^2 \\
& \quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 N^3 \mathbb{E} \left(\langle X_i, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j \rangle + \frac{1}{N} \right) \left(\langle X_i, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k \rangle + \frac{1}{N} \right) \\
& \quad \quad \times \left(\langle X_i \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j, X_i \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k \rangle + \sigma_X^2 \langle \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k \rangle + \frac{1}{N} \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 N^3 \mathbb{E} \left(\langle X_i, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j \rangle + \frac{1}{N} \right)^2 \left(\|X_i \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k\|^2 + \sigma_X^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_k\|^2 + \frac{1}{N} \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 N^3 \mathbb{E} \left(\langle X_i, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k \rangle + \frac{1}{N} \right)^2 \left(\|X_i \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j\|^2 + \sigma_X^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j\|^2 + \frac{1}{N} \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 N^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\|X_i \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j\|^2 + \sigma_X^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j\|^2 + \frac{1}{N} \right) \left(\|X_i \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k\|^2 + \sigma_X^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_k\|^2 + \frac{1}{N} \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 N^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\langle X_i \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j, X_i \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k \rangle + \sigma_X^2 \langle \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k \rangle + \frac{1}{N} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Under the assumption $Nh \gtrsim 1$ and $hj^a \log n \lesssim 1$, it is not difficult to verify $\mathbb{E}[\{\iint R_{00}(s, t) \phi_j(s) \phi_k(t) ds dt\}^2]$ is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \mathbb{E}(\langle X_i, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j \rangle^2 \langle X_i, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k \rangle^2) + \frac{\mathbb{E}\{\langle X_i, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j \rangle^2 (\|X_i \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k\|^2 + \sigma_X^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_k\|^2)\}}{N} \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{\mathbb{E}\{\langle X_i, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k \rangle^2 (\|X_i \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j\|^2 + \sigma_X^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j\|^2)\}}{N} \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{\mathbb{E}\{(\|X_i \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j\|^2 + \sigma_X^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j\|^2)(\|X_i \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k\|^2 + \sigma_X^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_k\|^2)\}}{N^2} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By similar arguments as Lemma S5, we have the following lemma.

PROPOSITION S2. *Under Assumptions 1 to 4, 6, 7 and $h^4 j^{2c+2a} \lesssim 1$, $hj^a \log n \lesssim 1$, $\mathbb{E}(\langle X_i, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k \rangle^4) \lesssim k^{-2a}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2j$ and*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k>j} \langle X_i, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_k \rangle^2 \right)^2 \lesssim j^{2-2a}.$$

By similar analysis as in Lemma 2, under the assumptions $Nh \gtrsim 1$ and $hj^a \log n \lesssim 1$, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left(\iint \left\{ R_{00} - C(s, t) S_{00} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial s}(s, t) S_{10} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}(s, t) S_{01} \right\} \phi_j(s) \phi_k(t) ds dt \right)^2 \\
& \lesssim \frac{j^{-a} k^{-a}}{n} + h^4 k^{-2a} j^{2c}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \leq 2j$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k>j} \mathbb{E} \left(\iint \left\{ R_{00} - C(s, t) S_{00} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial s}(s, t) S_{10} - h \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}(s, t) S_{01} \right\} \phi_j(s) \phi_k(t) ds dt \right)^2 \\ & \lesssim \frac{j^{1-2a}}{n} + h^4 j^{1-2a+2c}, \end{aligned}$$

which complete the proof. $\square$

PROOF OF COROLLARY 3. When the mean function $\mu(t)$ is unknown, the raw covariances in the local linear smoother are $\tilde{\delta}_{il_1 l_2} = \{X_{il_1} - \hat{\mu}(t_{il_1})\} \{X_{il_2} - \hat{\mu}(t_{il_2})\}$, where $\hat{\mu}(t)$ is estimated by

$$\hat{\mu}(t) = \arg \min_{\beta_0} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \{X_{ij} - \beta_0 - \beta_1(t_{ij} - t)\} \frac{1}{h_\mu} K\left(\frac{t_{ij} - t}{h_\mu}\right).$$

Denote

$$\tilde{R}_{p,q}(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2}^{N_i} \frac{1}{h^2} K\left(\frac{t_{il_1} - s}{h}\right) K\left(\frac{t_{il_2} - t}{h}\right) (t_{il_1} - s)^p (t_{il_2} - t)^q \tilde{\delta}_{il_1 l_2}.$$

By equation (19) in the main text, it is enough to bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\iint \left\{ \tilde{R}_{00}(s, t) - R_{00}(s, t) \right\} \frac{\phi_j(s) \phi_k(t)}{f(s) f(t)} ds dt \right]^2 \\ & \leq 3 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2}^{N_i} \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f}(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f}(t_{il_2}) \{\hat{\mu}(t_{il_1}) - \mu(t_{il_1})\} \{X_{il_2} - \mu(t_{il_2})\} \right]^2 \\ \text{(S.39)} \quad & + 3 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2}^{N_i} \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f}(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f}(t_{il_2}) \{X_{il_1} - \mu(t_{il_1})\} \{\hat{\mu}(t_{il_2}) - \mu(t_{il_2})\} \right]^2 \\ & + 3 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2}^{N_i} \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f}(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f}(t_{il_2}) \{\hat{\mu}(t_{il_1}) - \mu(t_{il_1})\} \{\hat{\mu}(t_{il_2}) - \mu(t_{il_2})\} \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term in the right hand side of equation (S.39),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2}^{N_i} \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f}(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f}(t_{il_2}) \{\hat{\mu}(t_{il_1}) - \mu(t_{il_1})\} \{X_{il_2} - \mu(t_{il_2})\} \right]^2 \\ & = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1=1}^{N_i} \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f}(t_{il_1}) \{\hat{\mu}(t_{il_1}) - \mu(t_{il_1})\} \sum_{l_2 \neq l_1}^{N_i} \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f}(t_{il_2}) \{X_{il_2} - \mu(t_{il_2})\} \right]^2 \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \|\hat{\mu} - \mu\|_\infty^2 \|\mathbf{K}\|_\infty^2 \|\phi_j/f\|_\infty^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{N_i - 1} \sum_{l_2 \neq l_1}^{N_i} \{X_{il_2} - \mu(t_{il_2})\} \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f}(t_{il_2}) \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{l_2 \neq l_1}^{N_i} \{X_{il_2} - \mu(t_{il_2})\} \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f}(t_{il_2}) \right]^2 &= \sum_{l_2 \neq l_1} \mathbb{E} \{X_{il_2} - \mu(t_{il_2})\}^2 \left\{ \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f}(t_{il_2}) \right\}^2 \\ &= O_P((N_i - 1)k^{-a}). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5.1 in [Zhang and Wang \(2016\)](#),

$$\|\hat{\mu} - \mu\|_\infty = O \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\bar{N}_1 h_\mu} \right) + h_\mu^2 \right) \text{ a.s. },$$

where $\bar{N}_1 = (n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n N_i^{-1})^{-1}$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2}^{N_i} \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f}(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f}(t_{il_2}) \{\hat{\mu}(t_{il_1}) - \mu(t_{il_1})\} \{X_{il_2} - \mu(t_{il_2})\} \right]^2 \\ &= O_P \left(\frac{k^{-a}}{\bar{N}_1} \left\{ \frac{\log n}{n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\bar{N}_1 h_\mu} \right) + h_\mu^4 \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By similar analysis, the second term in the right hand side of equation (S.39) has the same bound as the first term. For the last term,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\sum_{i=1}^n v_i \sum_{l_1 \neq l_2}^{N_i} \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_j}{f}(t_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f}(t_{il_2}) \{\hat{\mu}(t_{il_1}) - \mu(t_{il_1})\} \{\mu(t_{il_1}) - \mu(t_{il_2})\} \right]^2 \\ &\leq \|K\|_\infty^4 \|\phi_j/f\|_\infty^2 \|\phi_k/f\|_\infty^2 \|\hat{\mu} - \mu\|_\infty^4, \end{aligned}$$

which is dominated by the first two terms. Thus, under the assumption $\bar{N}_1 \gtrsim j^a$ and $\bar{N}_1 h_\mu \gtrsim 1$, $[\iint \{\tilde{R}_{00} - R_{00}\} \phi_j(s) \phi_k(t) / (f(s)f(t)) \text{d}s \text{d}t]^2$ is dominated by

$$\frac{1}{n} \left(j^{-a} k^{-a} + \frac{j^{-a} + k^{-a}}{\bar{N}_2} + \frac{1}{\bar{N}_2^2} \right) + h^4 k^{2c-2a}.$$

Similarly, under the assumption $\bar{N}_1 \gtrsim j^a$ and $h j^{2a} \log n \lesssim 1$,

$$\sum_{k > j} \left[\iint \{\tilde{R}_{00} - R_{00}\} \phi_j(s) \phi_k(t) / (f(s)f(t)) \text{d}s \text{d}t \right]^2$$

is dominated by

$$O_P \left(\frac{1}{n} \left(j^{1-2a} + \frac{j^{-a} h^{-1} + j^{1-a}}{\bar{N}_2} + \frac{h^{-1}}{\bar{N}_2^2} \right) + h^4 j^{1-2a+2c} \right).$$

□

S4. Proofs of lemmas in supplement

PROOF OF LEMMA S1 . As $(\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_k) \langle \hat{\phi}_j, \phi_k \rangle = \int \hat{C}_0 \hat{\phi}_j \phi_k - \int C \hat{\phi}_j \phi_k = \int (\hat{C}_0 - C) \phi_j \phi_k + \int (\hat{C}_0 - C) (\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j) \phi_k$, by expansion in [Hsing and Eubank \(2015\)](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j &= \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\int (\hat{C}_0 - C) \phi_j \phi_k}{\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_k} \phi_k + \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\int (\hat{C}_0 - C) (\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j) \phi_k}{\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_k} \phi_k + \left\{ \int (\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j) \phi_j \right\} \phi_j \\ &:= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

The last term in equation (S.40) is bounded by

$$(S.41) \quad \|I_3\|_\infty \leq \|\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j\|_2 \|\phi_j\|_2 \|\phi_j\|_\infty \lesssim \|\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j\|_2.$$

For I_2 , note that

$$(S.42) \quad I_2 = \frac{(\hat{C}_0 - C)(\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j)}{\hat{\lambda}_j} + \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\lambda_k \int (\hat{C}_0 - C)(\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j) \phi_k}{\hat{\lambda}_j(\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_k)} \phi_k := I_{2,1} + I_{2,2},$$

where

$$\|I_{2,1}\|_\infty = \|(\hat{C}_0 - C)(\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j)\|_\infty / \hat{\lambda}_j \lesssim \|(\hat{C}_0 - C)\|_\infty \|\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j\|_2 j^a,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{2,2}\|_\infty &\leq \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\lambda_k \left| \int (\hat{C}_0 - C)(\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j) \phi_k \right|}{\hat{\lambda}_j |\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_k|} \|\phi_k\|_\infty \lesssim \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\lambda_k \left| \int (\hat{C}_0 - C)(\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j) \phi_k \right|}{\hat{\lambda}_j |\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_k|} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{k \neq j} \left| \int (\hat{C}_0 - C)(\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j) \phi_k \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\lambda_k^2}{\hat{\lambda}_j^2 |\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_k|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|(\hat{C}_0 - C)(\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j)\|_2 j^{a+1} \\ &\lesssim \|(\hat{C}_0 - C)\|_{\text{HS}} \|\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j\|_2 j^{a+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we focus on I_1 , which is the dominating term, by similar arguments,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \sum_{k \neq j, k < 2j} \frac{\int (\hat{C}_0 - C) \phi_j \phi_k}{\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_k} \phi_k + \sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k \int (\hat{C}_0 - C) \phi_j \phi_k}{\hat{\lambda}_j(\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_k)} \phi_k + \sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} \frac{\int (\hat{C}_0 - C) \phi_j \phi_k}{\hat{\lambda}_j} \phi_k \\ &:= I_{1,1} + I_{1,2} + I_{1,3}. \end{aligned}$$

For $I_{1,1}$ and $I_{1,2}$, by Theorem 1, there are

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{1,1}\|_\infty &\leq \sum_{k \neq j, k < 2j} \frac{\left| \int (\hat{C}_0 - C) \phi_j \phi_k \right|}{|\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_k|} \|\phi_k\|_\infty \lesssim \sum_{k \neq j, k < 2j} \frac{\left| \int (\hat{C}_0 - C) \phi_j \phi_k \right|}{|\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_k|} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j/2 < k < 2j} k^{a+1} \frac{1}{|k - j|} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(j^{-\frac{a}{2}} k^{-\frac{a}{2}} + \frac{j^{-\frac{a}{2}} + k^{-\frac{a}{2}}}{\sqrt{N_2}} + \frac{1}{N_2} \right) + h^2 k^{c-a} \right\} \\ &= O_p \left(\frac{j \log j}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n N_2}} j^{\frac{a}{2}+1} \log j + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n N_2}} j^{a+1} \log j + h^2 j^{c+1} \log j \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{1,2}\|_\infty &\leq \sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k \left| \int (\hat{C}_0 - C) \phi_j \phi_k \right|}{\hat{\lambda}_j |\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_k|} \|\phi_k\|_\infty \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} \left| \int (\hat{C}_0 - C) \phi_j \phi_k \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k^2}{\hat{\lambda}_j^2 |\hat{\lambda}_j - \lambda_k|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} \left| \int (\hat{C}_0 - C) \phi_j \phi_k \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} j^{a+1/2} \\ &= O_p \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(j + \frac{h^{-\frac{1}{2}} j^{\frac{a+1}{2}} + j^{1+\frac{a}{2}}}{\sqrt{N_2}} + \frac{j^{a+\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{h N_2}} \right) + h^2 j^{1+c} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In summary, there are

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 = I_{1,1} + I_{1,2} + I_{1,3} + I_{2,1} + I_{2,2} + I_3 = \phi_{j,0} + \hat{\lambda}_j^{-1} \phi_{j,1}, \\ \phi_{j,0} &:= I_{1,1} + I_{1,2} + I_{2,1} + I_{2,2} + I_3, \quad \phi_{j,1} := \hat{\lambda}_j I_{1,3} = \sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} \left\{ \int (\hat{C}_0 - C) \phi_j \phi_k \right\} \phi_k.\end{aligned}$$

On the high probability set Ω_u , $\|(\hat{C}_0 - C)\|_{\infty} j^a + \|(\hat{C}_0 - C)\|_{\text{HS}} j^{a+1} = O(1)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi_{j,0}\|_{\infty} &\leq \|I_{1,1}\|_{\infty} + \|I_{1,2}\|_{\infty} + \|I_{2,1}\|_{\infty} + \|I_{2,2}\|_{\infty} + \|I_3\|_{\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|I_{1,1}\|_{\infty} + \|I_{1,2}\|_{\infty} + (1 + \|\Delta(\hat{C}_0 - C)\|_{\infty} j^a + \|(\hat{C}_0 - C)\|_{\text{HS}} j^{a+1}) \|\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j\|_2 \\ &\lesssim \|I_{1,1}\|_{\infty} + \|I_{1,2}\|_{\infty} + \|\hat{\phi}_j - \phi_j\|_2 \\ &= O_p \left(\frac{j \log j}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n N_2}} \left(j^{\frac{a}{2}+1} \log j + h^{-\frac{1}{2}} j^{\frac{a+1}{2}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{j^{a+\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{n h N_2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n N_2}} j^{a+1} \log j + h^2 j^{c+1} \log j \right),\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

PROOF OF LEMMA S2. For the first statement in Lemma 4, as $\mathcal{P}_{<m} g = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \langle g, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{P}_{<m} g\|_2^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} |\langle g, \phi_k \rangle|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \|g\|_1^2 \|\phi_k\|_{\infty}^2 \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} 1 \lesssim m, \\ \|\mathcal{P}_{<m} g\|_{\infty} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} |\langle g, \phi_k \rangle| \|\phi_k\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \|g\|_1 \|\phi_k\|_{\infty}^2 \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} 1 \lesssim m, \\ \|\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2m} g\|_1 &= \|g - \mathcal{P}_{<2m} g\|_1 \leq \|g\|_1 + \|\mathcal{P}_{<2m} g\|_2 \lesssim 1 + (2m)^{1/2} \lesssim m^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

For the second statement, note that

$$\left| \int C(\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j - \phi_j) \phi_k \right| = \lambda_k |\langle (\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j - \phi_j), \phi_k \rangle| \leq \lambda_k \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j - \phi_j\|_2 \|\phi_k\|_2 \lesssim k^{-a} h^2 j^c,$$

and for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\mathcal{T}_h C - C)f\|_{\infty} &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k (\mathcal{T}_h \phi_k - \phi_k) \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \right\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_k - \phi_k\|_{\infty} |\langle f, \phi_k \rangle| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-a} \min(1, h^2 k^c) |\langle f, \phi_k \rangle| \\ &\lesssim \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2a} \min(1, h^4 k^{2c}) \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, \phi_k \rangle|^2 \right]^{1/2} \lesssim j^{1/2-a} \|f\|_2.\end{aligned}$$

Recall $\|g\|_1 = 1$ for $g \in \chi_1(\rho)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbb{E} \hat{C}_0 \phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{T}_h C \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle = \langle (\mathcal{T}_h C - C)(\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j - \phi_j), \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle \\ &+ \langle C(\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j - \phi_j), \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle + \langle (\mathcal{T}_h C - C)\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For the last three terms in the right hand side of last equation, there are

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle (\mathcal{T}_h C - C)\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle| &= \lambda_j |\langle \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j - \phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle| \leq \lambda_j \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j - \phi_j\|_\infty \|\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g\|_1 \\ &\lesssim \lambda_j h^2 j^c j^{1/2} \lesssim h^2 j^{c+1/2-a}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle C(\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j - \phi_j), \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle| &= \left| \sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} \langle C(\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j - \phi_j), \phi_k \rangle \langle \phi_k, g \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} |\langle C(\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j - \phi_j), \phi_k \rangle| \|\phi_k\|_\infty \|g\|_1 \lesssim \sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} k^{-a} h^2 j^c \lesssim h^2 j^{c+1-a} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle (\mathcal{T}_h C - C)(\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j - \phi_j), \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle| &\leq \|(\mathcal{T}_h C - C)(\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j - \phi_j)\|_\infty \|\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g\|_1 \\ &\lesssim j^{1/2-a} \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j - \phi_j\|_2 \|\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g\|_1 \lesssim j^{1/2-a} h^2 j^c j^{1/2} \lesssim h^2 j^{c+1-a}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete by summing up the above. $\square$

PROOF OF LEMMA S3 . For E_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{N_i(N_i - 1)} \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} |\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j(T_{il_1}) \mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g(T_{il_2})| |\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \mathbf{1}_{(|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| > A_n)} \\ &\leq \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j\|_\infty \|\mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g\|_\infty}{N_i(N_i - 1)} \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} |\delta_{il_1 l_2}| \mathbf{1}_{(|\delta_{il_1 l_2}| > A_n)} \\ &\leq \sup_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j\|_\infty \|\mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g\|_\infty \frac{Z_2}{A_n^{\alpha-1}} \lesssim \frac{Z_2}{h A_n^{\alpha-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z_2 := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{N_i(N_i - 1)} \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \neq l_2 \leq N_i} |\delta_{il_1 l_2}|^\alpha \text{ and } \mathbb{E} Z_2 = \mathbb{E} |\delta_{il_1 l_2}|^\alpha \lesssim 1.$$

For F_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|F_1| &\leq \sum_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \mathbb{E} [C_*^{>M}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)] \\ &= \sum_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{A}_i(f, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g) \mathbf{1}_{(|\tilde{A}_i(f, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)| > A_n \bar{N}_2^2 \|f\|_\infty M)} \right]}{\bar{N}_2(\bar{N}_2 - 1)} \\ &\leq \sum_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \frac{\left[\mathbb{E} |\tilde{A}_i(f, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)|^2 \mathbb{P} \left(|\tilde{A}_i(f, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g)| > A_n \bar{N}_2^2 \|f\|_\infty M \right) \right]^{1/2}}{\bar{N}_2(\bar{N}_2 - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{g \in \chi_1(\rho)} \frac{[\mathbb{E}|\tilde{A}_i(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g)|^2]^{1/2}}{\bar{N}_2(\bar{N}_2 - 1)} \exp\left(-\frac{M\bar{N}_2}{4\|\mathcal{T}_h\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g\|_\infty}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{n^\rho M_2^{1/2}}{\bar{N}_2(\bar{N}_2 - 1)} \exp\left(-\frac{M\bar{N}_2}{4M_0}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

PROOF OF LEMMA S4. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|A_i(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g)|^2 &\leq N_i^4 A_{i1}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g) + 2N_i^3 \{A_{i22}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g) \\
&\quad + A_{i23}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g)\} + 2N_i^2 A_{i31}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g).
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{i1}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g) &= \mathbb{E} [|\langle X, \mathcal{T}_h\phi_j \rangle|^2 |\langle X, \mathcal{T}_h\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g \rangle|^2], \\
A_{i22}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g) &= \mathbb{E} [|\langle X, \mathcal{T}_h\phi_j \rangle|^2 (\|X\mathcal{T}_h\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g\|_2^2 + \sigma_X^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g\|_2^2)], \\
A_{i23}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g) &= \mathbb{E} [|\langle X, \mathcal{T}_h\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g \rangle|^2 (\|X\mathcal{T}_h\phi_j\|_2^2 + \sigma_X^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h\phi_j\|_2^2)], \\
A_{i31}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g) &= \mathbb{E} [(\|X\mathcal{T}_h\phi_j\|_2^2 + \sigma_X^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h\phi_j\|_2^2)(\|X\mathcal{T}_h\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g\|_2^2 + \sigma_X^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g\|_2^2)].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}\|X\varphi\|_2^4 \leq \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}|X(t)|^4 |\varphi(t)|^2 dt \|\varphi\|_2^2 \lesssim \|\varphi\|_2^4$ and $\mathbb{E}(\|X\varphi\|_2^2 + \sigma^2 \|\varphi\|_2^2)^2 \lesssim \|\varphi\|_2^4$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^2$, we have $A_{i31}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g) \lesssim \|\mathcal{T}_h\phi_j\|_2^2 \|\mathcal{T}_h\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g\|_2^2$ and $A_{i22}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g) \lesssim \mathbb{E} [|\langle X, \mathcal{T}_h\phi_j \rangle|^4]^{1/2} \|\mathcal{T}_h\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g\|_2^2$. As $\|\mathcal{T}_h\phi_j\|_2 \leq \|\phi_j\|_2 \leq 1$, $\|\mathcal{T}_h\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g\|_2 = \|\mathcal{T}_h g - \mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}g\|_2 \leq 4h^{-1/2}\|g\|_1 + \|\mathcal{P}_{< 2j}g\|_2 \lesssim 4h^{-1/2} + (2j)^{1/2} \lesssim h^{-1/2}$ for all $g \in \chi_1(\rho)$ and $\mathbb{E}|\langle X, \mathcal{T}_h\phi_j \rangle|^4 \lesssim j^{-2a}$ by Lemma 2,

$$(S.43) \quad A_{i31}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g) \lesssim h^{-1}, \quad A_{i22}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g) \lesssim j^{-a}h^{-1}.$$

Next, we focus on quantity $\mathbb{E}\langle X, \mathcal{T}_h\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g \rangle^4$ and note that

$$(S.44) \quad \langle X, \mathcal{T}_h\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}g \rangle = \langle \mathcal{T}_h X - \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}X, g \rangle - \langle \mathcal{T}_h X - \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}X, \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}g \rangle.$$

For the second term in the right hand side of equation (S.44), note that

$$|\langle \mathcal{T}_h X - \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}X, \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}g \rangle| \leq \|\mathcal{T}_h X - \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}X\|_2 \|\mathcal{P}_{< 2j}g\|_2 \lesssim j^{1/2} \|\mathcal{T}_h X - \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}X\|_2,$$

and $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathcal{T}_h X - \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}X\|_2^4] \lesssim j^{2-2a}$, we have

$$(S.45) \quad \mathbb{E}[\langle \mathcal{T}_h X - \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}X, \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}g \rangle^4] \lesssim j^{4-2a}.$$

For the first term in the right hand side of equation (S.44), we further have

$$(S.46) \quad \langle \mathcal{T}_h X - \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}X, g \rangle = \langle \mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}X - \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}X, g \rangle + \langle \mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j}X, g \rangle.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}X - \mathcal{P}_{< 2j}X\|_\infty^4)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
&\lesssim \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2j-1} |\xi_k| \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_k - \phi_k\|_\infty \right)^4 \right\}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
(S.47) \quad &\lesssim \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2j-1} |\xi_k| h^2 k^c \right)^4 \right\}^{\frac{1}{4}} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{2j-1} (\mathbb{E}\xi_k^4)^{\frac{1}{4}} h^2 k^c \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{2j-1} \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2}} h^2 k^c \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{2j-1} h^2 k^c \lesssim h^2 j^{c+1} \lesssim j^{1-a} (h^4 j^{2c+2a})^{1/2} \lesssim j^{1-a}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term in equation (S.46), as $\mathcal{T}_h g \geq 0$ and $\|\mathcal{T}_h g\|_1 = 1$ for all $g \in \chi_1(\rho)$,

$$(S.48) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\langle \mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} X, g \rangle|^4 &= \mathbb{E}|\langle \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} X, \mathcal{T}_h g \rangle|^4 \leq \mathbb{E}|\langle \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} X|^4, \mathcal{T}_h g \rangle| \\ &= \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}|\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} X(t)|^4 \mathcal{T}_h g(t) dt \lesssim \int_0^1 j^{4-2a} \mathcal{T}_h g(t) dt \lesssim j^{4-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

Combine equation (S.44) to (S.48), we have $\mathbb{E}|\langle X, \mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle|^4 \lesssim j^{4-2a}$. Thus,

$$(S.49) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{i11}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g) &\leq (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, \mathcal{T}_h \phi_j \rangle|^4 \mathbb{E}|\langle X, \mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle|^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim (j^{-2a} j^{4-2a})^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim j^{2-2a}; \\ A_{i23}(\phi_j, \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g) &\leq \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_j\|_{\infty}^2 \mathbb{E} [|\langle X, \mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle|^2 (\|X\|_{L^2}^2 + \sigma^2)] \\ &\lesssim (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, \mathcal{T}_h \mathcal{P}_{\geq 2j} g \rangle|^4)^{1/2} \lesssim j^{2-a}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete by combining equation (S.43) and (S.49) and the arbitrariness of g . $\square$

PROOF OF LEMMA S5. Denote $\xi_{ik} = \langle X_i, \phi_k \rangle$, then $X_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_{ik} \phi_k$. By Minkowski Inequality and Assumption 1,

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X_i\|^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_{ik}^2 \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{E} \xi_{ik}^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C \lambda_k < \infty.$$

For all $u \in [h, 1-h]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f}(u) - \frac{\phi_k}{f}(u) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{h} \int K \left(\frac{u-t}{h} \right) \frac{\phi_k(t)}{f(t)} dt - \frac{\phi_k(u)}{f(u)} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-1}^1 K(v) \left\{ \frac{\phi_k(u)}{f(u)} - hv \left(\frac{\phi_k(u)}{f(u)} \right)^{(1)}(u) + \frac{h^2 v^2}{2} \left(\frac{\phi_k(u)}{f(u)} \right)^{(2)}(u^*) \right\} dv - \frac{\phi_k(u)}{f(u)} \right| \\ &\lesssim h^2 |\phi_k^{(2)}(t)|_{\infty} \lesssim h^2 k^c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(S.50) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^4 \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \int_0^1 X_i(u) f(u) \frac{1}{h} \int K \left(\frac{u-y}{h} \right) \frac{\phi_k(y)}{f(y)} dy du \right\}^4 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \int_h^{1-h} X_i(u) f(u) \frac{1}{h} \int K \left(\frac{u-y}{h} \right) \frac{\phi_k(y)}{f(y)} dy du \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left(\int_0^h + \int_{1-h}^1 \right) X_i(u) f(u) \frac{1}{h} \int K \left(\frac{u-y}{h} \right) \frac{\phi_k(y)}{f(y)} dy du \right\}^4 \right] \\ &\lesssim \mathbb{E}[(\xi_{ik} + h\|X_i\| + h^2 k^c \|X_i\|)^4] \\ &\lesssim \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{ik}^4 + h^4 \mathbb{E}\|X_i\|^4 + (h^4 k^{2c})^2 \mathbb{E}\|X_i\|^4\} \\ &\lesssim k^{-2a}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to Assumption 1 and $h^4 j^{2a+2c} = O(1)$, $h j^a \log n = O(1)$.

By similar analysis, one has

$$\sum_{k>j} \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^2 \lesssim \sum_{k>j} \left\langle \mathcal{T}_h X_i f, \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^2 + h \|X_i\|^2 \text{ and } \left\| \frac{1}{f} \mathcal{T}_h X_i f - \mathcal{T}_h X_i \right\|^2 \lesssim h \|X_i\|^2.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k>j} \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^2 &\lesssim \sum_{k>j} \left\langle \frac{1}{f} \mathcal{T}_h X_i f - \sum_{r=1}^j \xi_{ir} \phi_r, \phi_k \right\rangle^2 + h \|X_i\|^2 \\ (S.51) \quad &\lesssim \left\| \mathcal{T}_h \sum_{r=1}^j \xi_{ir} \phi_r - \sum_{r=1}^j \xi_{ir} \phi_r \right\|^2 + \left\| \sum_{r=j+1}^{\infty} \xi_{ir} \phi_r \right\|^2 + h \|X_i\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

By Minkowski inequality,

$$(S.52) \quad \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{r=j+1}^{\infty} \xi_{ir} \phi_r \right\|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{r>j} \xi_{ir}^2 \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{r>j} (\mathbb{E} \xi_{ir}^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \sum_{r>j} \lambda_r \lesssim j^{1-a}.$$

By similar argument as in (S.50), one has $\|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_k - \phi_k\| \lesssim h + h^2 k^c$. Thus,

$$\left\| \mathcal{T}_h \sum_{r=1}^j \xi_{ir} \phi_r - \sum_{r=1}^j \xi_{ir} \phi_r \right\| \leq \sum_{r=1}^j |\xi_{ir}| \|\mathcal{T}_h \phi_r - \phi_r\| \lesssim \sum_{r=1}^j |\xi_{ir}| (h^2 r^c + h)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} (S.53) \quad &\left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{T}_h \sum_{r=1}^j \xi_{ir} \phi_r - \sum_{r=1}^j \xi_{ir} \phi_r \right\|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \lesssim \sum_{r=1}^j (\mathbb{E} \xi_{ir}^4)^{\frac{1}{4}} (h^2 r^c + h) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{r=1}^j \lambda_r^{\frac{1}{2}} (h^2 r^c + h) \lesssim \sum_{r=1}^j (h^2 r^c + h) \lesssim h^2 j^{c+1} + h j \lesssim j^{1-a}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is by $h^4 j^{2a+2c} \lesssim 1$ and $h j^a \log n \lesssim 1$.

Combine equation (S.51), (S.52) and (S.53), the proof is complete by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k>j} \left\langle X_i f, \mathcal{T}_h \frac{\phi_k}{f} \right\rangle^2 \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{T}_h \sum_{r=1}^j \xi_{ir} \phi_r - \sum_{r=1}^j \xi_{ir} \phi_r \right\|^4 + \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{r=j+1}^{\infty} \xi_{ir} \phi_r \right\|^4 + h^2 \mathbb{E} \|X_i\|^4 \lesssim j^{2-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- HSING, T. and EUBANK, R. (2015). *Theoretical Foundations of Functional Data Analysis, with an Introduction to Linear Operators* **997**. John Wiley & Sons.
- SHAO, L., LIN, Z. and YAO, F. (2022). Supplementary Material to “Intrinsic Riemannian Functional Data Analysis for Sparse Longitudinal Observations”.
- ZHANG, X. and WANG, J.-L. (2016). From sparse to dense functional data and beyond. *Ann. Statist.* **44** 2281–2321.